

<p style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold; font-size: 1.2em;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Application No. 10/790,904</td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Applicant(s) MITCHELL ET AL.</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Examiner PETER-ANTHONY PAPPAS</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Art Unit 2628</td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/790,904	Applicant(s) MITCHELL ET AL.	Examiner PETER-ANTHONY PAPPAS	Art Unit 2628
Application No. 10/790,904	Applicant(s) MITCHELL ET AL.				
Examiner PETER-ANTHONY PAPPAS	Art Unit 2628				

All Participants:

(1) PETER-ANTHONY PAPPAS.

(2) Christopher J. Reckamp.

Date of Interview: 4 December 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed: _____

Claims discussed: _____

11,21

Prior art documents discussed: _____

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Peter-Anthony Pappas/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2628

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner stated that they attempted to contact the applicant earlier in the week to discuss the issues present in the instant Final Office Action but that the examiner could not reach the applicant. The applicant explained that they were out of the office. The examiner and the applicant briefly discussed said issues and both agreed that a future interview would be held to further discuss said issues.